

NO. 75024-6

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

KENNETH McCLARTY

Respondent,

v.

TOTEM ELECTRIC COMPANY

Petitioner.

RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Daniel F. Johnson, WSBA No. 27848
SHORT CRESSMAN & BURGESS PLLC
999 Third Avenue, Suite 3000
Seattle, Washington 98104-4088
Phone: (206) 682-3333
FAX: (206) 340-8856
Attorneys for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. IDENTITY OF MOVING PARTY AND RELIEF SOUGHT 1

II. ARGUMENT2

 A. The Federal Definition of "Disabled" is
 Complex to Analyze and Difficult to Meet. 3

 B. The Federal Definition of "Disabled" is
 Inconsistent with Prior Law Under the WLAD..... 8

 C. The Federal Definition of "Disabled" is Not
 Consistent With the "Plain Meaning" of the
 Term..... 14

III. CONCLUSION.....17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Anaya v. Graham</i> , 89 Wn. App. 588, 950 P.2d 16 (1998)	11
<i>Anica v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> , 120 Wn. App. 481, 84 P.3d 1231 (2004)	12
<i>Bochenek v. Walgreen Co.</i> , 18 F. Supp. 2d 965 (N. D. Ind. 1998).....	13, 17
<i>Brown v. Scott Paper Worldwide</i> , 143 Wn. 2d 349, 20 P.3d 921 (2001)	9
<i>Brunke v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.</i> , 344 F.3d 819 (8th Cir. 2003).....	10
<i>Callahan v. Walla Walla Housing Auth.</i> , 126 Wn. App. 812, 110 P.3d 782 (2005)	10
<i>Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pac. R.R. Co.</i> , 87 Wn.2d 802, 557 P.2d 307 (1976)	passim
<i>Colwell v. Suffolk County Police Dept.</i> , 158 F.3d 635 (2d Cir. 1998).....	13
<i>Cormier v. Littlefield</i> , 112 F. Supp. 2d 196 (D. Mass. 2000)	13, 17
<i>Davis v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 149 Wn.2d 521, 70 P.3d 126 (2003)	10
<i>Downey v. Crowley Marine Servs. Inc.</i> , 36 F.3d 1019 (9th Cir. 2001).....	9
<i>Easley v. Sea-Land Service, Inc.</i> , 99 Wn. App. 459, 994 P.2d 271 (2000)	12

<i>Ellison v. Software Spectrum, Inc.</i> , 85 F.3d 187 (5th Cir. 1996).....	7
<i>Erwin v. Roundup Corp.</i> , 110 Wn. App. 308, 40 P.3d 675 (2002)	12
<i>Estevez v. Faculty Club of the Univ. of Wash.</i> , 129 Wn. App. 774, 120 P.3d 579 (2005)	18
<i>Godwin v. Hunt</i> , 150 F.3d 1217 (9th Cir. 1998).....	18
<i>Gordon v. E.L. Hamm & Assocs., Inc.</i> , 100 F.3d 907 (11th Cir. 1996).....	11
<i>Hamilton v. Southwestern Bell Tel. Co.</i> , 136 F. 3d 1047 (5th Cir. 1998).....	6, 11
<i>Hill v. BCTI Income Fund-I</i> , 144 Wn.2d 172, 23 P.3d 440 (2001)	15
<i>Holland v. Boeing Co.</i> , 90 Wn.2d 384, 583 P.2d 621 (1978)	10
<i>Holt v. Grand Lake Mental Health Ctr., Inc.</i> , 443 F.3d 762 (10th Cir. 2006).....	6, 10
<i>Kastanis v. Educ. Employees Credit Union</i> , 122 Wn.2d 483, 865 P.2d 507 (1993)	18
<i>Kimmel v. Crowley Maritime Corp.</i> , 23 Wn. App. 78, 596 P.2d 1290 (1988)	12
<i>Kirby v. City of Tacoma</i> , 124 Wn. App. 454, 98 P.3d 827 (2004)	11
<i>Kocsis v. Multi-Care Mgmt.</i> , 97 F.3d 876 (6th Cir. 1996).....	6, 10
<i>Marquis v. City of Spokane</i> , 130 Wn. 2d 97 (1996).....	9

<i>Martini v. Boeing Co.</i> , 137 Wn. 2d 357 (1999).....	9
<i>Palmer v. Cook County Soc. Serv. Dept.</i> , 905 F. Supp. 499 (N.D. Ill. 1995)	11
<i>Pulcino v. Federal Express Corp.</i> , 141 Wn. 2d 629 (2000).....	9, 12, 15
<i>Rakestraw v. Carpenter Co.</i> , 898 F. Supp. 386 (N.D. Miss. 1995)	13
<i>Redlich v. Albany Law School</i> , 899 F. Supp. 100 (N.D.N.Y. 1995)	8
<i>Reese v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.</i> , 107 Wn.2d 563, 731 P.2d 497 (1987)	12
<i>Riechmann v. Cutler-Hammer, Inc.</i> , 5 F.Supp.2d 1171 (D. Kan. 2000)	8
<i>Robel v. Roundup Corp.</i> , 148 Wn. 2d 35, 59 P.3d 611 (2002)	12
<i>Robinson v. Global Marine Drilling Co.</i> , 101 F. 3d 35 (5th Cir. 1996).....	6
<i>Rose v. Hanna Mining Co.</i> , 94 Wn.2d 307 (1980).....	10
<i>Schrom v. Bd. for Volunteer Fire Fighters</i> , 153 Wn.2d 19, 100 P.3d 814 (2004)	14
<i>Sommer v. Dept. of Social & Health Servs.</i> , 104 Wn. App. 160, 15 P.3d 664 (2001)	11
<i>Sussle v. Sirina Protection Systems Corp.</i> , 269 F. Supp. 2d 285 (S.D.N.Y. 2003).....	10
<i>Sutton v. New Mexico Dept. of Children, Youth & Families</i> , 922 F. Supp. 516 (D. N.M. 1996)	6

<i>Sutton v. United Air Lines, Inc.</i> , 527 U.S. 471, 119 S. Ct. 2139, 144 L. Ed. 2d 450 (1999)	4, 5
<i>Toyota Motor Mfg., Ky., Inc. v. Williams</i> , 534 U.S. 184, 122 S. Ct. 681, 151 L. Ed. 2d 615 (2002) ...	5, 8, 16
<i>Weiler v. Household Finance Corp.</i> , 101 F.3d 519 (7th Cir. 1996).....	11
<i>Williams v. Avnet, Inc.</i> , 910 F. Supp. 1124 (E.D.N.C. 1995).....	7

STATUTES

42 U.S.C.A. § 12102(2)	3
RCW 49.60.020	8

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Lisa Eichhorn, <i>The Chevron Two-Step and the Toyota Sidestep Dancing Around the EEOC's "Disability" Regulations Under the ADA</i> , 39 Wake Forest L. Rev. 177 (2004)	4
Michael D. Reisman, <i>Traveling "To the Farthest Reaches of the ADA," or Taking Aim at Employment Discrimination on the Basis of Perceived Disability</i> , 26 Cardozo L. Rev. 2121 (2005).....	4
Molly M. Joyce, <i>Has the Americans with Disabilities Act Fallen on Deaf Ears? A Post-Sutton Analysis of Mitigating Measures in the Seventh Circuit</i> , 77 Chicago-Kent L. Rev. 1389 (2002).....	4, 5, 15
Steven S. Locke, <i>The Incredible Shrinking Protected Class: Redefining the Scope of Disability Under the Americans with Disabilities Act</i> , 68 U. Colo. L. Rev. 107 (1997).....	4, 6

Susan Stefan, *Delusions of Rights: Americans with Psychiatric Disabilities, Employment Discrimination, and the Americans with Disabilities Act*, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 271 (2000)..... 5

Webster's Third New Int'l Dictionary (1961) 15

Wendy E. Parmet, *Plain Meaning and Mitigating Measures: Judicial Interpretations of the Meaning of Disability*, 21 Berkely J. Emp. & Lab. L. 53 (2000)..... 7

